Postcard History

Although we now think of postcards as mass-produced slips of paper (festively decorated with generic images or off-color jokes) to be sent to family and friends from vacation destinations, the social import of postcards during their “Golden Age” (1893-1918) [1] rivals the power of the Internet in contemporary times. The postcard industry was technologically and artistically prepared to play a part in the 1908 presidential election [2], with postcards reaching the height of their popularity during that campaign [3]. Although it would be impossible to quantify their direct effect on the election, postcards “offer a vivid chronicle of American political values and tastes.” [4]

Postcards, and their chronicling of American political values, were not confined to electoral politics. Postcard historian Frank W. Staff remarks, “The detail and unusual items of domestic and social history which [postcards] show are of inestimable value to the historian” [5] and, I would add, to those who study the rhetoric of historical movements. In her comprehensive study of British women’s suffrage [6] campaign imagery, Lisa Tickner cites John Fraser’s research on the postcard, suggesting “that the pictorial postcard was ‘possibly the great vehicle for messages of the new urban proletariat between 1900 and 1914’ (it was cheap to buy and to post, simple to use, and quick to arrive in an age of frequent postal deliveries).” [7] In Britain, middle-class collectors formed and joined postcard clubs, subscribed to postcard journals, and attended shows where they would place their collections in competition for medals and awards. [8] During postcards’ heyday in the United States, “no ‘drawing room table’ was complete without one of the special albums in which picture postcards could be preserved” [9] and “one’s social standing could be determined by the style and quality of the picture postcards in the album.” [10] Thus, it is no surprise that postcards both supporting and opposing woman suffrage in the U.S. were common during the movement’s doldrums from 1890-1915 and its developing renaissance from 1896-1910. [11]

Accordingly, a fascinating intersection occurred between advocacy for and against woman suffrage, images of women (and men), and postcards. Best estimates are that approximately 4,500 postcards were produced with a suffrage theme.

Woman suffrage advocates recognized the utility of the postcard as a propaganda device. In the United States, the majority of the postcards supporting woman suffrage contained real-photo images of the suffrage parades, [12] verbal messages identifying the states that had approved suffrage, or quotations in support of extending the vote to women. [13] However, the most visually evocative images in the United States, as in Great Britain, came not from postcards officially commissioned by woman suffrage groups, but from ones produced by commercial postcard publishers. [14] Simply by tapping into prevailing ideology, postcard producers assisted anti-suffrage forces “almost incidentally” by creating “a public imagery of the female form” that used suffragists as “topical or humorous types.” [15]
Notes


[6] British suffragists referred to “women’s suffrage” while U.S. suffragists spoke of “woman suffrage.” Accordingly, when referring to British suffrage activities, I use the phrase “women’s suffrage” and when referring to U.S. suffrage activities, I use the phrase “woman suffrage.”


[10] Staff, 64.


This summary of postcard types comes from the author's personal collection, a review of postcards available on-line, examination of collections put up for auction, and consultations with suffrage postcard collectors. The differences between pro- and anti-suffrage postcards are not limited to their style and content. Their uses also differed: “Though most cards were heavily anti-suffrage, some were pro-suffrage. When the pro-suffrage cards are found today, they usually have not been postally used. Perhaps the social climate was such that these cards were hand exchanged or merely kept by the purchaser” (Nicholson, 196).

Tickner, 51-52.

Ibid., 162.
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