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Abstract
As of 1985, over 15 million children in the United States were members of a stepfamily. Each year one million more children are expected to become a part of a stepfamily. With one of two marriages ending in divorce, it is likely the statistics will rise (Martin & Martin, 1985). According to Winch (1971), “A stepfamily is a domestic family in which one or both parents have children from a previous union living most of the time in the same household” (p. 10). A stepfamily may have the appearance of a traditional family but they do not function in the same manner. The stages in the life cycle of stepfamilies differ dramatically from biological families. Stresses found in the natural family occur in an exaggerated form in the stepfamily. At the same time the stepfamily also encounters stresses that are not common to more traditional families.
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As of 1985, over 15 million children in the United States were members of a stepfamily. Each year one million more children are expected to become a part of a stepfamily. With one of two marriages ending in divorce, it is likely the statistics will rise (Martin & Martin, 1985).

According to Winch (1971), "A stepfamily is a domestic family in which one or both parents have children from a previous union living most of the time in the same household" (p. 10). A stepfamily may have the appearance of a traditional family but they do not function in the same manner. The stages in the life cycle of stepfamilies differ dramatically from biological families. Stresses found in the natural family occur in an exaggerated form in the stepfamily. At the same time the stepfamily also encounters stresses that are not common to more traditional families.

In a sense some problems are specific to a stepfamily (Sager, Walker, Brown, Crohn, & Rodstein, 1981). Recent literature has dealt with the stresses and problems of divorce for both adults and children. A preliminary review of the literature revealed that researchers and therapists have explored not only the causes but also the effects of divorce on family members. However, with the increasing number of children becoming members of a stepfamily each year more research is also becoming available about the adjustment
problems common to the stepfamily. Researchers are beginning to explore the stress children and adults encounter upon entry into a stepfamily.

The purpose of this paper was to review the literature concerning common stress factors for children entering a stepfamily unit. Stress factors were divided into four major categories: 1) discipline, 2) divided loyalty, 3) sex, and 4) stepsibling relationships. These categories will be discussed individually in order to study the effects on children.

Discipline

One of the factors that most experts agreed often created stress for children in stepfamilies was discipline (Maddox, 1975; McClenahan, 1978; Visher & Visher, 1979; Lutz, Jacobs, & Mason, 1981). The issue of discipline was particularly severe because a new adult with different ideas, feelings and behaviors entered into an established single parent home. Stepparents dealt with an instant family; frequently without any previously established guidelines for discipline (Visher & Visher, 1979). Stepchildren may reject any discipline attempt by the new stepparent in order to test the limits of the "outsider." Often the children, especially adolescents who did not respond well to rules even in a traditional family, found it more difficult to accept from a stepparent who was
perceived as an interloper (Lutz, Jacobs & Mason, 1981).

Teenagers in the single parent home were often forced to assume more responsibility and consequently were also allowed more freedom. These children felt a strong sense of independence which they felt was threatened by the stepparent's discipline (Visher & Visher, 1979).

Stepchildren tended to react with suspicion when a stepparent disciplined them because they thought the stepparent was usurping the role of the biological parent (Stenzor, 1969). The children's suspicions, at times, encouraged them to "test" the stepparent. One method used by children was to play one parent against the other in order to confuse and redirect the discipline technique.

Mowatt (1972), Steinzor (1969), and Maddox (1975), concluded that stepparents must endure the testing experience from the stepchildren before the children will accept their authority. Many discipline techniques are based on the existence of an affectional bond between parent and child. Love and approval are strong and positive reinforcers. These bonds of love and affection need to be developed in the stepfamily before discipline will be effective.

Stepfamilies by their very nature meant a change in structure for all members. This change of structure also affected the administration of discipline (Einstein & Albert,
Bowerman and Irish (1962) found in their study that stepchildren felt more uncertainty of feelings and insecurity of positions than did their counterparts in natural families. Suddenly the stepchildren found a new authority figure in the house. Yet the critical issue concerned the perceived legitimacy of that authority (Espinoza & Newman, 1979).

The child's feelings of insecurity were heightened when there were other stepsiblings living in the same home. Stepparents were believed to discriminate more often against the stepchild by using more severe discipline and showing favoritism toward their own children (Bowerman & Irish, 1962). Therefore, greater hesitancy in disciplining was somewhat justified because of the greater probability of its perceived unfairness.

Adolescents especially, were affected by the change in family structure. Accepting discipline from an outsider was especially difficult for the teenager due to their growing need for autonomy. A change in family structure threatened the control adolescents sought in their lives (Lutz, Jacobs, & Mason, 1981).

Discipline was cited by most researchers as a definite source of stress for children in the stepfamily for two primary reasons. First, children had difficulty accepting rules from an outsider. Second, the change in family structure
that occurred due to the remarriage of the biological parent also precipitated a change in the discipline techniques. Although discipline was an important source of stress, other factors also affected children as they entered a stepfamily.

**Divided Loyalties**

Another source of stress was divided loyalties. In the stepfamily at least one biological parent was absent from the home yet their parent's presence was continually felt by the children. Thus the children tried to retain their relationship with the biological parent while at the same time were called upon to develop a relationship with the stepparent. As a result of this complex interaction, children experienced divided loyalties (Visher & Visher, 1979; Einstein & Albert, 1986). Poppin and White (1984) also found that the loyalty a child felt toward the biological parent was threatened upon entry into a stepfamily. This conflict was exaggerated for the young child because they still experienced an overwhelming longing for the absent parent (Prosen & Farmer, 1982).

Divorced adults often competed for the children's love and affection. Since children can not have what they really wanted, an intact family, they sometimes exploited the situation in order to gain privileges or possessions. Thus
the children pitted parent against parent creating feelings of divided loyalty within themselves (Einstein & Albert, 1986).

Adolescent's loyalties were also divided because they frequently felt compelled to choose between their natural parents which created a "caught in the middle" feeling. According to a study conducted by Lutz (1983) of 103 adolescents between ages of 12 and 18, 43% of the respondents stated they experienced this "caught feeling" and 58% also said that this created stress for them. Conversely, Wallerstein and Kelly (1980) discussed the positive effect on children whose parental relationships were good. These children experienced little divided loyalties or stress if their parents were not warring with each other.

The attitude of the natural parents toward one another had a definite influence upon the emotional well being of the child. Children often saw themselves as being a composite of their biological parents. Therefore, when one parent talked negatively about the other, the child internalized the criticism.

Another aspect of divided loyalty that created stress for children dealt with their growing respect and possibly even love for their stepparent. Capaldi and McRae (1979) stated children often viewed this respect and love as an "either/or" proposition. Thus they felt "caught in the middle"
between the biological parent and the stepparent. Young children felt disloyal to their natural parent if they admitted to loving their stepparent. These feelings of disloyalty to the natural parent tended to force the children to "stick by" their natural parent and at times deny their growing affection for the stepparent. This situation exacerbated if the absent parent subtly encouraged the children to express negative feelings about their stepparent.

Feelings of divided loyalties often created significant amounts of stress for children in a stepfamily. Children felt divided on three key issues. First, most children felt ties to the absent natural parent. This emotional link to the absent parent caused confusion for the children he/she tried to relate to the newly merged family. Second, some children wanted to establish an emotional bond to the stepparent which created guilt feelings about disloyalty to their absent parent. Finally, negative talk by the biological parent and stepparent about the non-custodial spouse left the child confused about whom he/she owed allegiance. Characteristics worked in combination to create stress for the child entering the stepfamily setting.

Sex

Sexual issues were yet another source of stress for children living within a stepfamily. Some children experienced
difficulty in adjusting to a sexual relationship between their biological parent and another person (Rollin, 1971; Kompara, 1980). According to Fast and Cain (1966) there were no clear cut sanctions governing sexual behavior between stepparent, stepchildren and stepsiblings which intensified the normal difficulties in channeling sexual impulses of family members.

Visher and Visher (1978), Mead (1970), and Baer (1972), concluded also that the incest taboo was weakened due to the absence of biological ties between family members. Therefore, sexuality was viewed as being a greater source of conflict within the stepfamily than within the traditional family. Sexuality was especially evident in stepfamilies for two reasons. First, the new couple's expression of affection within the home brought to awareness the importance of sexual intimacy to the relationship (Einstein & Albert, 1986; Messinger, 1984). Many young people experienced feelings of anger, resentment and embarrassment with this awareness due to their anxiety about their own sexuality (Einstein & Albert, 1986). They did, however, state that an obvious affection between the parents provided a positive role model for children in terms of development of their own concept of a marital relationship.
Secondly, sexual boundaries were blurred. This occurred, in part, because children were suddenly involved in an intimate situation with people they have not grown up with (Francke, 1983; Messinger, 1984). This resulted in increased tension, confusion and inappropriate behavior. Einstein and Albert (1986) continued by stating that having a sexual attraction toward a family member was normal but the implied incest taboos prevented individuals from acting on such feelings.

Some researchers indicated that incest taboo, notwithstanding, it was not uncommon for stepfamily members to experience sexual fantasies. This increased anxiety causing them to respond with distancing behavior or even anger in an effort to cope with this issue (Sager et al., 1981). This was particularly true of adolescents who flirted with the stepparent of the opposite sex to validate his/her attractiveness. As the stepparent retreated the child may try harder to gain his/her affection causing the stepparent to retreat even further. This left the child feeling rejected and unlovable (Einstein & Albert, 1986).

According to Rosenberg and Hajal (1985) combining non-biological children of the opposite sex, particularly adolescents, generally increased the children's sexual conflict. Visher and Visher (1978) concurred that when teenagers who found themselves living in intimate situations
at a time when they were curious and intrigued by sexual concerns may develop strong sexual fantasies and attractions to each other.

Young children also experienced conflict with the development of intense affectional feelings for each other. To offset the perceived unacceptable attraction they engaged in fighting and discordance behavior (Visher & Visher, 1978). Therefore sexual issues had a major influence on the adjustment of stepfamily members, particularly children and adolescents. Due to the weakening of incest taboos they were more likely to experience confusion and anxiety over attraction to their stepkin.

Stepsibling Relationships

The final major stress factor dealt with stepsibling relationships to each other which were confused due to the ambiguities and lack of guidelines as to roles and positions which were common to stepfamilies (Rosenberg & Hajal, 1985). Children's reaction to having new brothers and sisters typically ranged from optimism that new relationships would fulfill unmet needs, to skepticism that sharing relationships with more people would result in an additional loss (Rosenberg & Hajal, 1985). Dubermam (1973) found that stepsibling relationships were crucial to the success of stepfamilies. As the number of non-biological children living within the
remarried family increased, so did the number of opportunities for jealously and rivalry. Children frequently reacted in a disruptive manner due to feelings of rejection, abandonment and divided loyalties. Einstein and Albert (1986) observed that when children from two different families were brought into a stepfamily, frequently rivalry intensified. Each child had to learn to share a parent after the loss of his/her traditional family as well as vie for a position within the "new" family.

Change in family birth order also added to the stress between stepsiblings (Einstein & Albert, 1986). In the merged family, first borns may no longer be the oldest child. Confusion as to roles that had been previously been based on birth order no longer applied. As children attempted to function in their new birth order feelings of jealously and rivalry caused friction and misbehavior among siblings.

Consequently, children also had difficulty establishing a personal identity within the family structure because their birth order was reshuffled. Sharing space for possessions and a lack of privacy contributed to the stress of the identity crisis. These factors combined, caused children to search for their places in the family often without much success. Thus, feeling left out or alone in their own family, children fought with their stepsiblings in order to carve a place for
themselves in the family. Goldstein and Solnit (1984) found that if stepsiblings were the same ages, the sense of rivalry maybe even more noticeable. If several children were present then the child had a tendency to feel overwhelmed. He/she felt like an outsider and consequently became more possessive of his/her own mother. Resentment between stepsiblings developed because they feared the lose of time with their own parent whom they had to share (Einstein & Albert, 1986). The process of dividing parental time, according to Rosenberg and Hajal (1985), frequently created a sense of unfairness among stepsiblings and was intensified in their relationships. Children many times were compared with each other by parents. The tendency of the natural parents was to use his/her own children as positive examples and the stepchildren as targets. This resulted in children being caught in the cross current of misuse and exploitation which finally precipitated a fight between children (Schulman, 1972).

Stepsiblings were confronted with complicated loyalty conflicts. Stepsibs struggled to maintain loyalty to old family ties while being expected to allow others loyalties to their families of origin (Rosenberg & Hajal, 1985).

In summary, the absence of guidelines, with regard to stepfamily structure, created confusion for children attempting to establish a position within the "new" familial unit.
They experienced feelings of jealousy, rejection and divided loyalties which resulted in intense rivalry and resentment.

Conclusion

This study consisted of a review of literature concerning the stress factors experienced by children upon entry into a stepfamily. Four primary stress factors were studied: 1) discipline, 2) divided loyalty, 3) sexual issues, and 4) stepsibling relationships. Two conclusions may be drawn. First, stepfamilies, by their very nature, caused restructuring of the family unit. This restructuring often left the children feeling confused about their personal identity and role in the new family unit. Also, children especially adolescents, felt anxiety over their newly emerging sexuality due to blurred sexual boundaries in the stepfamily.
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