

2008

A New Design for the Hudson Community School District's Website

Shannon Michelle Horn
University of Northern Iowa

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Copyright ©2008 Shannon Michelle Horn

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.uni.edu/hpt>

Recommended Citation

Horn, Shannon Michelle, "A New Design for the Hudson Community School District's Website" (2008).
Honors Program Theses. 822.

<https://scholarworks.uni.edu/hpt/822>

This Open Access Honors Program Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Work at UNI ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Honors Program Theses by an authorized administrator of UNI ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@uni.edu.

Offensive Materials Statement: Materials located in UNI ScholarWorks come from a broad range of sources and time periods. Some of these materials may contain offensive stereotypes, ideas, visuals, or language.

**A NEW DESIGN FOR THE HUDSON COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT'S
WEBSITE**

**A Project
Submitted
in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Designation
University Honors with Distinction**

**Shannon Michelle Horn
University of Northern Iowa**

May 2008

This Study by: Shannon Horn

Entitled: A New Design for the Hudson Community School District's Website

has been approved as meeting the thesis or project requirement for the Designation
University Honors with Distinction

4-29-08

Date

Carl Blue, Honors Thesis/Project Advisor

5/9/08

Date

Jessica Moon, Director, University Honors Program

Technology is increasingly becoming a bigger and more important part of our daily lives. Especially in such institutions as the public education system, the Internet is becoming more widely used as a source of information and a means of communication between the district and parents, students, the community, and even teachers. The Internet, and all forms of technology, is increasingly becoming the primary way people access information, especially in education. As more and more schools are depending on the Internet to promote their schools, they are in turn becoming dependent on how outsiders view their website as a first impression of the school. My thesis project consisted of a redesign of the Hudson Community School District's website to make it more informative, easy to navigate, and functional. This paper is accompanying my completed project – which can be viewed by visiting www.hudson.k12.ia.us – to explain the necessity for this redesign and updated functionality of the school's website.

Technology use has changed since it was first introduced into education, and *Key Technology Trends* published in *Technology & Learning* identifies eight new trends in the use of technology in education. These eight trends were identified through a survey conducted by the Greaves Group involving more than 900 school administrators (Key technology trends. *Technology & Learning*. 2007).

First, there is an increase in the number of laptops that can be found being used in schools. From the responses to this 2006 survey it was found that “19 percent of all student devices today are mobile and that 50 percent will be mobile in 2011.” Another study conducted by Project Tomorrow in 2006 found that “54 percent of students in grades seven through twelve were found to know more of their friends' instant messaging screen names than their home phone numbers; 67 percent go online first to research a

topic; and 81 percent acknowledge that losing access to the Internet would affect their personal lives and their schoolwork” (Tomei, L., 2007). This essentially means that students are becoming increasingly exposed to new technologies and the Internet to aid them in their learning and expanding their current knowledge (Key technology trends. *Technology & Learning*. 2007). This is one of the issues that gave cause for the redesign of the Hudson Community School website.

On the previous website, there were no resources for students. With the new design, there is a directory listing all teachers and providing a link to their personal webpage. This allows students to better access the information that teachers are providing via the Internet. Another possibility for student growth via wireless technologies is the opportunity for students to be in charge of the upkeep of the website now that it has been handed over.

Second, the move toward the idea that “each student and teacher has one Internet-connected wireless computing device for use both in the classroom and at home” (known as ubiquitous computing) is becoming increasingly recognized and steps are being taken to put this idea in place. This is different from the more recent idea of “computer carts,” where a set of computers is brought into a classroom for the purpose of each student having their own computer during class time, since the use of computers is becoming increasingly required of the student for homework. This survey reveals that “more than 24 percent of school districts are in the process of transitioning” to ubiquitous computing, as compared to the 2003 survey conducted by the same group which revealed that only 4 percent of schools were starting implementations. This idea has clearly seen more and more recognition in the last three to four years, and it is obvious, as evidenced by the

numbers, that schools are not only recognizing the need, but trying to implement programs to make this idea a reality (Key technology trends. *Technology & Learning*. 2007).

Thirdly, even though ubiquitous computing is introduced, it may not have an effect on student achievement. The survey showed that of schools that had implemented a 1:1 ratio of computers to students, “88 percent of school districts where academic results were tracked report moderate to significant positive results, with 12 percent reporting no results or poor results.” This is where the real problem lies. These implementations of new technology can only be effective if there is proper training for both students and teachers (Key technology trends. *Technology & Learning*. 2007). A state ahead of the game in implementing a program to ensure teacher training and professional development in technology use in education is South Carolina. In *Tech-Savvy Teachers*, there is a description of a program that South Carolina teachers are putting into practice to evaluate their technology proficiency and learning strategies to improve their knowledge. South Carolina has made strides in recognizing that the problem is not the idea that technology needs to be integrated into education, but “*how to integrate technology through curriculum and instruction.*”

The state’s five step plan includes teachers completing a self-assessment, the development of goals and plans based on the feedback from the answers to that self-assessment, further professional development provided in small-group and individual settings conducted by technology curriculum coaches, the creation of “ePortfolio artifacts” to verify the proficiency of the teacher as time goes on, and finally another evaluation to have feedback to compare to the pre implementation assessment to

determine the effectiveness of the professional development being used (Mainwaring, T., & Bergman, D., 2006).

Resources needed to implement wireless computing solutions is the fourth trend recognized. This implementation can only be effective if there are adequate resources to allow the students and teachers to get the most use out of these laptops. One of these resources is bandwidth. Bandwidth is simply the rate at which data can travel, and through this survey it was found that the current bandwidth is 2.90 kilobits per second (Kbps) per student. For the future, the survey found that “9.57 Kbps per student [will be needed] by 2011,” and “as much as 40 Kbps may be needed in five years.” This number is increasing exponentially because of the fact that computer technology grows at an exponential rate. As the sophistication of computers and technology grows, the bandwidth needed to transfer information grows. This number could change even more due to the number of computers and increased student use (Key technology trends. *Technology & Learning*. 2007).

Since computers are increasing in number in the classroom and the use of wireless technologies is steadily rising, the use of online learning is being incorporated more and more into student learning. According to the survey, the fifth trend recognized that 3.8 percent of students currently use online learning in any of the eight main subject areas, but this number is expected to rise to 15.6 percent by 2011 (Key technology trends. *Technology & Learning*. 2007). Even though the Hudson School District doesn't offer any online learning courses at present, there is an opportunity for that new facet with the new design. The old website was not well equipped with the tools that teachers would need to implement online learning, but with this new easily navigated and coherent

website, there is a definite opportunity for this kind of learning to be implemented if there is allowance in the budget to employ someone to put it in place.

The problem with this entire introduction of technology is the quality of professional development and teacher training. The sixth trend recognized in the results from the survey states that “17 percent of curriculum directors believe that their current professional development program is prepared to support 1:1 [ubiquitous] computing effectively. In contrast, 73 percent of superintendents rank professional development as extremely important in successful 1:1 computing initiatives.” This survey was also the first time that school administrators recognized that this implementation of ubiquitous computing is part of their budget. It was found that the average amount spent was \$94.75 per student per year (Key technology trends. *Technology & Learning*. 2007). In Hudson’s case, the budget for technological advances is incorporated in teacher salary. Kevin Murray, the Technology Coordinator, is also a teacher. He is the one responsible for setting up new technology, training others that will be updating the new website, and general upkeep and repair of current technology.

As the number of computers in a school increases, so does the total cost of ownership (TCO), and this is the seventh trend. This means that for every computer there is a set amount needed for upkeep and maintenance of that computer. As the number of computers goes up, the cost per student also goes up, which means “every added dollar of support cost per computer becomes an added dollar per student, not 20 cents per student as in a 5:1 student/computer school environment.” This can be one of the biggest setbacks for schools in implementing ubiquitous computing. Schools have a limited budget, and a limited part of the budget that can be devoted to technology.

Administrators need to use this wisely and be very discretionary in their implementation of a 1:1 student-computer ratio (Key technology trends. *Technology & Learning*. 2007).

Not only are the use of laptops on the rise, but the use of other wireless learning technology in the classroom is growing, and the eighth trend recognizes “student appliances, tablet computers, handheld devices, and interactive whiteboards will be some of the fastest-growing product categories among mainstream products of the next five years.” The growth rates of these four technologies has been found to be 104 percent for student appliances, 78 percent for tablet computers, 37 percent for handheld devices, and 24 percent for interactive whiteboards. These numbers show that teachers and school staff not only need to be well versed in laptops, but these four relatively new technologies as well. This means more training and personal education for each teacher so that the technologies can be implemented effectively (Key technology trends. *Technology & Learning*. 2007).

These new technologies can be very beneficial to student learning and their growing knowledge of the technology they can use to enhance their daily life. But the key to implementing these technologies and making them worthwhile is the professional development and teacher training. As stated in *From technophobes to tech believers*, “Teachers who are provided with professional development in addition to ongoing training and support are more likely to integrate technology as part of their daily curriculum” (Fox, C., 2007). According to Richard Clarke, as quoted in *Editorial: Research on the Effectiveness of Technology in Schools: The Roles of Pedagogy and Content*, media are “mere vehicles that deliver instruction but do not influence achievement more than the truck that delivers our groceries cause changes in our

nutrition.” In his studies, he concluded that “mere exposure to technology confers no particular educational benefits.” The rebuttal to this finding is a list of outcomes for students, and an acknowledgement that technology needs to be implemented well by knowledgeable school officials and staff. This list includes four subject areas: English, mathematics, science, and social studies; and three to four outcomes for each (Schrum, L., Thompson, A., Maddus, C., Sprague, D., Bull, G., & Bell, L., 2007). Overall, teachers need to become better immersed and literate in technology in order to utilize its resources to enhance their teaching methods and student learning (Fox, C., 2007).

Implementing technology into education is not easy. It is a process, and could take as long as years. Lawrence A. Tomei, in *The Technology Façade*, gives schools a helpful checklist to measure whether or not they are doing all they can to enhance student learning through the use of technology. This is a list of twenty simple questions and a scoring method so schools can find out where they stand in their efforts to implement technology as a helpful learning tool. The basis of the first set of questions addresses the first trend that students need to have computers available in a 1:1 ratio. Questions ask things like “are the computer labs in your school used by classroom teachers,” and “are your computers located in the library, classrooms, or computer labs?” These questions encourage the school district to review their placement of computers in the school and address the need for the change in the placement of some of those computers to better support ubiquitous computing (Tomei, L., 2007).

The second set of questions addresses the idea of professional development and teacher training. Questions such as “what is the extent of technology training received by teachers?,” and “does your school provide access to a computer teacher, computer

technician, network administrator, and/or a technology coordinator?” are asked to address the idea that teachers need not only to have the technology available to them, but must have the resources to be able to learn these technologies. Also, it is very beneficial to have an expert on hand to direct questions/concerns to in order to have a solution quickly (Tomei, L., 2007). I commend Hudson Community School in having a technology coordinator in place, and much less a person that is part of the core staff so that his presence is seen and he is always available. With Kevin Murray being available whenever a solution for a technology problem needs to be found, it allows for situations to be fixed quickly and efficiently.

The third set of questions addresses the use of technology by teachers to teach their students. “For technology-based lessons, has the school developed a ‘scope and sequence’ to include technological competencies for all students, by grade and subject area,” and “when using technology-based lessons in the classroom, do teachers prepare their own handouts, study guides, and workbooks to guide the lesson presentation?” are questions asked to try and direct the school in putting more emphasis not only on whether teachers and students can *use* the technology, but on the idea that technology needs to be integrated into all factions of education and there need to be standards and goals to meet to have data to measure success (Tomei, L., 2007). The biggest thing to consider when implementing technology into education is the professional development and teacher training that it involves, the budget planning it entails, and all of the things that need to be considered as far as student-computer ratio, ability to track progress, resources for technology knowledge and know-how, and how we teach our kids to use technology.

A large part of my research for this project was reviewing each Iowa school district's website and critiquing their layout, visual design, usability, information, and other aspects that made me either enjoy their website or make me want to contact them and offer my services for them as well. I looked at sites that had good navigation and found some to base my new implementations on, some that I couldn't navigate around or find any information I was looking for, some were using design practices that are virtually obsolete, and others were extremely outdated. It was clear as I looked through these sites that this idea of implementing technology in education, especially the use of school websites, is greatly needed in Iowa.

Through this experience of creating a new design for a website to be used as the representation of the Hudson Community School, I encountered many things that caused unexpected delays. This was my first experience in creating a website for an actual client, and I found out many things that extended my goals of learning more about the web design process and principles. I learned what clients can expect from you, how quickly they can expect things, and how excited they can get at even the smallest sign of a new tool or gadget that can be utilized.

The process of creating this website lasted a total of five months. The first phase was to discuss what was expected of this new design. I met with Kevin Murray, Technology Director; Roark Horn, Hudson Community School Superintendent; and the Hudson Community School board. In meetings between Kevin Murray, Roark Horn and I, we discussed where the old site was lacking, what the old site had that needed to be kept, what new things we wanted to implement, and what things we had to keep in mind as far as handing over the design once it was finished.

The old website was completely underdeveloped, hard to navigate, and not user friendly. Along with all of these shortcomings, there was very sparse information. The only information to be found was the student handbook, lunch menu, school calendar, employee benefits, and some random forms. New things we discussed implementing were a staff directory where visitors would have access to e-mail all staff and faculty members, along with links to their personal websites; new pages for extracurricular activities; a directory with directions to sporting events in away districts; a page of links for staff to easily access their information at work from home; and to create an overall format and design that was easier to navigate and find the information website visitors are seeking. In the end, we were able to implement all of these ideas with an individual page for each one of them that is easily accessible from the front page.

The second phase was to take all of the information collected and formulate it into a design that kept the old aspects of the website that were still needed, fixed the problems with the old website, implemented the new ideas we wanted to incorporate, and did this all while providing an easily navigated structure for the overall site. This phase took the most time. I spent about two full months coming up with the visual design. There were various stages of my design process that I submitted rough drafts to Roark Horn, Kevin Murray, and the school board. I submitted a paper rough draft, and also met with everyone once I had placed a mock up of the design on my personal website. I was able to run through how the links related to one another, how the new navigation was to work, and how the new aspects were going to be introduced at a school board meeting after the paper design had been approved by all parties. After the design was approved, I started

refining it and creating individual pages. A final showing was presented in late January and some additional tweaks were made before the final publishing on February 22, 2008.

I was lucky to have the opportunity to work with people who let me have a lot of freedom with the new design, but also knew what they didn't want, so they were able to give me some boundaries. The old website was very underdeveloped and didn't have a lot of information to be transferred to the new design. This made things more difficult for me because I like to have things completed before being published. Finally, I was convinced to simply hand over the design of the site and let the employees of the school district continue the work I started and let them take the reins on finishing the design and updating all the necessary information that needs to be communicated to community members and school staff and parents. In that sense, I finished what was expected of me by creating a more user-friendly easily updated website. Even though I am happy with the final product, and feel as if I satisfied all of the requirements I set out to fulfill, I came across a lot of difficulties with working with people and starting from such a sparse shell.

One big problem I encountered was inconsistent software. I used Macromedia Dreamweaver, the newest and most used web design software, to create the site, and I always had to keep in mind that the software the school would be using was Adobe GoLive, an older and now almost obsolete web design software. This kept me from creating a lot of advanced graphics or an advanced design that used Dreamweaver tools because I always kept in the back of my mind that the school's software program only allowed for basic creations and only required minimal training. It was hard for me to enjoy the finished product as much as I enjoy another website I have done since

(www.uni.edu/isflc) because I had to keep in mind this software issue, and also that the people updating the site had no advanced training in the software.

The thing I will take away most from this experience is the fact that busy people can take a long time to get back to you and get you information you need. I worked with people in the education business, and these people were concentrating on their students. When I proposed this redesign, I didn't consider the fact that I was putting even more burden on them until I started asking for information and it took a very long time for me to get a response. Another factor that delayed the publishing of the site much later than my proposed date is the fact that I, too, am a busy person. With four jobs, twenty-one credit hours, a new fiancé, and preparing to graduate and find a real job on my mind, I was very overwhelmed with this project and am very happy to be able to say I have completed it and met the goals I set out in the beginning.

Overall, I count this experience as very beneficial to my education, and to my future profession. I also feel that Hudson Community School has benefited from this redesign, and this is evidenced by the number of positive e-mails received by the Superintendent, Roark Horn, to compliment the new design and comment on its more user friendly interface and easier navigation tools. Even though I graduate in May 10, 2008, I haven't a clue what I want to do with the rest of my life, but with this experience I now know that I have the ability to create professional websites, work with clients, and meet requirements place on me by those clients.

References

- Fox, C. (July, 2007). From technophobes to tech believers. *T.H.E. Journal*. 34(7).
- Iowa Association of School Boards. *Iowa public school districts*. Website: <http://www.iasb.org/Links.aspx?id=74>
- Mainwaring, T., & Bergman, D. (July, 2006). Tech-savvy teachers. *T.H.E. Journal*. 33(12), p. 37-40.
- Schrum, L., Thompson, A., Maddus, C., Sprague, D., Bull, G., & Bell, L. (2007). Editorial: Research on the effectiveness of technology in schools: The roles of pedagogy and content. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Education*. 7(1), p. 456-460.
- Technology & Learning*. (July, 2007). Key technology trends. *Technology & Learning*. 27(12), p. 4-7.
- Tomei, L. (September, 2007). The technology façade. *American School Board Journal*. 194(9), p. 44-49.

Appendix A

Iowa School District Website Review

| School | Good Aspects | Bad Aspects | Conclusion |
|------------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| A-H-S-T | | links move around and different sizes | |
| Adair-Casey | | frames | |
| Adel-DeSoto-Minburn | | | |
| AGWSR | look link navigation | | use |
| Akron-Westfield | | frames | |
| Albert City-Truesdale | | frames | |
| Albia | | | |
| Alburnett | | background | |
| Alden | look link navigation | some incomplete info | use |
| Algona | | too "corporate" | |
| Allamakee | | not uniform | |
| Allison-Bristow | | no design... | |
| Alta | | no "home" button on linked pages | |
| Ames | professional, high end, have someone devoted full time | | |
| Anamosa | mentioned by board member | | use |
| Andrew | static left links | | use |
| Anita | | too much info on front page | |
| Ankeny | rollover links | | |
| Anthon-Oto | | links on right, not left, hard to notice | |
| Aplington-Parkersburg | rollover links | | |
| Ar-We-Va | rollover links, links on right | | use links on right |
| Armstrong-Ringsted | | no links back or new windows | |
| Atlantic | | note that firefox/safari work better, empty space on front | |
| Audubon | | frames | |
| Aurelia | good | | |
| Ballard | | header too big | |
| Battle Creek-Ida Grove | | basic, not advanced | |
| Baxter | rollover links | | |
| BCLUW | rollover links | background clashes with yellow font | |
| Bedford | | not consistent | |
| Belle Plaine | static left links | | use |
| Bellevue | | too much info on front page | |
| Belmond-Klemme | rollover links; color | | |
| Bennett | | red background/blue links = ouch! | |
| Benton | side links | | use |
| Bettendorf | side links | | use |
| B-G-M | | different site for elementary | |
| Bondurant-Farrar | | | |
| Boone | | too advanced to pass on | |
| Boyden-Hull | | no links to home | |
| Boyer Valley | like Anamosa | | use |
| Burlington | good | | |
| C and M | | high school and elementary different | |
| Cal | like Anamosa | | use |
| Calumus-Wheatland | | links too specific | |
| Camanche | | | |
| Cardinal | | frames | |
| Carlisle | | links on side too specific | |
| Carroll | | inconsistent | |
| Cedar Falls | | home links didn't work | |
| Cedar Rapids | static links; consistent; looks nice | | |
| Center Point-Urbana | consistent | not catered to each section needs | |

| | | | |
|------------------------|---|---|-----|
| Centerville | static links on top | | |
| Central | | Requires Quicktime | |
| Central City | | inconsistent; big font | |
| Central Clinton | static links on side | maybe too many links | |
| Central Decatur | | frames | |
| Central Lee | links on side | | |
| Central Lyon | good layout and color | | |
| Chariton | static links | | |
| Charles City | links on right | | use |
| Charter Oak-Ute | | | |
| Cherokee | | no home links | |
| Clarinda | static links; looks like a bulletin board | frames | |
| Clanion-Goldfield | links to links | | use |
| Clarke | rollover links | | |
| Carksville | consistent | | |
| Clayton Ridge | | frames | |
| Clay Central/Everyly | static links | | |
| Clear Creek-Amama | rollover links | | |
| Clearfield | | | |
| Clear Lake | rollover links | | |
| Clinton | | flash site | |
| Colfax-Mingo | | inconsistent | |
| College | | frames on inner pages | |
| Collins-Maxwell | static links | | |
| Colo-Nesco | pop up links | | |
| Columbus | | no home links | |
| Coon Rapids | link on right | | use |
| Corning | | too long to load | |
| Corwith-Wesley | good links | | |
| Council Bluffs | | too many stories on front | |
| Creston | | | |
| Dallas Center-Grimes | | different looks on different pages | |
| Danville | static links | | |
| Davenport | lots of links, static | | |
| Davis County | | | |
| Decorah | staic links; consistent | | |
| Deep River-Millersburg | static links | | |
| Delwood | | | |
| Denison | NICE - consistent; static links | | use |
| Denver | static links | | |
| Des Moines Independent | | home link on bottom | |
| Diagonal | | links in table halfway down home page | |
| Dike-New Hartford | | inconsistent | |
| Dows | | | |
| Dubuque | | too much information on front | |
| Dunkerton | static links | | |
| Durant | | | |
| Eagle Grove | drop down links to others | | |
| Earlham | rollover links | | |
| East Buchanan | | pull down boxes | |
| East Central | links on right; static links | | use |
| East Greene | lunch menu; staff contact | | use |
| East Marshall | | done by students; not updates since 9-25-07 | |
| East Union | | | |
| Eastern Allamakee | good links | | |
| Eddysville-Blakesburg | | | |
| Edgewood-Colesburg | links to use | more links on left | |
| Eldora-New Providence | | goes to South Hardin page | |

| | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|-----|
| Elk Horn-Kimballton | links on left | | |
| Emmetsburg | clean; professional; lots of links on left | | |
| English Valleys | | links on bottom; elementary has no information | |
| Essex | | no home links | |
| Estherville Lincoln | | links shift of left; bad background | |
| Exira | | font too big | |
| Fairfield | | | |
| Farragut | like Anamosa | | use |
| Forest City | professional, nice | | |
| Fort Dodge | good links | plain | |
| Fort Madison | professional | random, unrelated pictures | |
| Fredericksburg | | | |
| Fremont | | | |
| Fremont-Mills | | flash introduction | |
| Galva-Holstein | | not uniform | |
| Garner-Hayfield | | drop down links; high school different from rest - it's better | |
| George-Little Rock | | no links on left | |
| Gilbert | | not updated recently | |
| Gilmore City-Bradgate | | goes to TRV | |
| Gladbrook-Reinbeck | | borders on pictures are too big; no home links | |
| Glenwood | links on left | no home links | |
| Glidden-Ralston | links on left; consistent | | |
| GMG | | title of page not appropriate; inconsistent | |
| Graettinger | static links on top and left | | |
| Greene | | goes to "North Butler"; no home links | |
| Grinnell-Newburg | professional; static top and left links | | |
| Griswold | static links on left | | |
| Grundy Center | static links on top and left | | |
| Guthrie Center | new windows for pdfs; static links on left | | |
| H-L-V | | no home links | |
| Hamburg | like Anamosa | | use |
| Hampton-Dumont | | home link is top banner | |
| Harlan | static top links | | |
| Harmony | like Anamosa | | use |
| Harris-Lake Park | | using Joomla | |
| Hartley-Melvin-Sanborn | | not consistent; no home links | |
| Highland | | no links, pull down menu | |
| Howard-Winneshiek | | | |
| Hubbard-Radcliffe | static left links | | |
| Hudson | | | |
| Humboldt | static left links | | |
| IKM | | GREEN; bland; links only good on homepage | |
| Independence | static top and changing left links | | |
| Indianola | professional; static header and links; GOOD | | |
| Interstate I-35 | | not consistent | |
| Iowa City | static left and top links | | |
| Iowa Falls | static top links | entrance | |
| Iowa Valley | static top and left links | | |
| Janesville | | home links hard to find | |
| Jefferson-Scranton | static links on left | | |
| Jesup | static left links | bad font for links on left | |

| | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|-----|
| Johnston | detailed rollover static left links | | |
| Keokuk | | home link takes you to page with no links | |
| Keota | | | |
| Kingsley-Pierson | | | |
| Knoxville | static header and links on left | | |
| Lake Mills | | | |
| Lamoni | | links at bottom, not easy to find | |
| Laurens-Marathon | transitions; static top bar links | | |
| Lawton-Bronson | static header | lots under construction | |
| Le Mars | rollover static top bar | lots on front | |
| Lenox | | links on right only, but static | |
| Lewis | like Anamosa but with manilla folder-type header | | use |
| Lineville-Clio | | | |
| Linn-Mar | | new windows pop up | |
| Lisbon | static left and top links | | |
| Logan-Magnolia | static left | no home links | |
| Lone Tree | static left and top links | no home links | |
| Louisa-Muscatine | static left and top links | | |
| LuVerne | | not consistent | |
| Lynnville-Sully | static top bar | | |
| Madrid | | no home links | |
| Malvern | like Lewis Central | | use |
| Manning | | no consistent links | |
| Manson Northwest Webster | professional look; static top and changing menu appropriate to section - GOOD | | |
| Maple Valley | | frames | |
| Maquoketa | | no home links | |
| Maquoketa Valley | | no home links | |
| Marcus-Meriden-Cleghorn | | front page different than rest | |
| Marion Independent | transitions; rollover static left menu | no header | |
| Marshalltown | static top menu | | |
| Martensdale-St. Mary's | consistent | no home links | |
| Mason City | rollover static top and left links | | |
| Mediapolis | rollover static left links; links on linked pages | | |
| Melcher-Dallas | rollover static left links | | |
| MFL Marmac | | entrance; table of links; link to home on bottom | |
| Mid-Prairie | static top menu | | |
| Missouri Valley | like Lewis Central | | use |
| MOC-Floyd Valley | static left | long to load | |
| Montezuma | | no home links | |
| Monticello | | pull down boxes on left | |
| Moravia | static top; patriotic | | |
| Mormon Trail | static left | links don't work on some pages | |
| Morning Sun | | | |
| Moulton-Udell | static top | static pulldown menus on left | |
| Mount Ayr | | | |
| Mount Pleasant | | | |
| Mount Vernon | home takes you to sections; then static links | | |
| Murray | | | |
| Muscatine | home link is on top | home links to everything | |
| Nashua-Plainfield | static left bar | | |
| Nevada | static top, links on left change with section | | |
| New Hampton | | no home links on every page | |

| | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|-----|
| New London | rollover top links, static quicklinks on left | | |
| New Market | | | |
| Newell-Fonda | static left | | |
| Newton | static left and right on some pages | on page not built by webmaster there are no home links | |
| Nishna Valley | like Lewis Central | | use |
| Nodaway Valley | | no home links; inconsistent | |
| Nora Springs-Rock Falls | static left links | frames | |
| North Cedar | | have to visit home page to link to anything else | |
| North Central | like Anamosa | | use |
| North Fayette | static top | still being built; notes to webmaster on pages | |
| North Iowa | static left, variable top | | |
| North Kossuth | transitions | everything linked from home | |
| North Linn | static top | some frames | |
| North Mahaska | static left | using Joomla | |
| North Polk | | not consistent | |
| North Scott | | not consistent | |
| North Tama | static header and left | | |
| North Winneshiek | | some pages don't work; some don't have home link; not consistent | |
| Northeast | | | |
| Northeast Hamilton | static left; transistions | | |
| Northwood-Kensett | like Anamosa | | use |
| Norwalk | static top | | |
| Odebolt-Arthur | | goes to INS website | |
| Oelwein | static top and left links | | use |
| Ogden | like Lewis Central | | |
| Okoboji | static top and variable left links | | |
| Olin | | nothing, under construction | |
| Orient-Macksburg | | not consistent; some pages don't have home links | |
| Osage | static left | not exciting | |
| Oskaloosa | | all links on homepage | |
| Ottumwa | professional; static top bar menu | | |
| Panorama | | static bar at top, but looks different on front page than on others | |
| Paton-Churdan | static left links on some pages | icon as home link | |
| PCM | static top and variable left links | | |
| Pekin | | student done; have to get to everything via the homepage | |
| Pella | static top menu | | |
| Perry | | nothing static; everything linked via the homepage | |
| Pleasant Valley | | | |
| Pleasantville | | have to go back to homepage to get to different sections | |
| Pocahontas Area | static header and top menu with variable menus with clicked | | |
| Pomeroy-Palmer | | | |
| Postville | | not consistent, home links in different places on each page | |
| Prairie Valley | | have to select topic when click on a subject in static top menu | |
| Prescott | | | |
| Preston | static left; new windows for pdfs | some use of frames | |
| Red Oak | | | |
| Remsen-Union | static top menu | too much scrolling on home page | |
| Riceville | static top and left links | | |

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|-----|
| River Valley | | | |
| Riverside | | nothing; or took too long to load | |
| Rock Valley | | too busy; some links require and add-on; not consistent | |
| Rockwell City-Lytton | | transitions; no home links; too long to load next page | |
| Rockwell-Swaledale | | table of links; everything linked from home page | |
| Roland-Story | | site contents on left instead of nice links | |
| Rudd-Rockford-Marble Rock | more basic version of Anamosa | | use |
| Russell | | | |
| Ruthven-Syrshire | static header with home link | | |
| Sac | | | |
| Saydel | | requires plug-in | |
| Scaller-Crestland | static left menu and header | | |
| Schleswig | static left links | | |
| Sentral | like Lewis Central | | |
| Sergeant Bluff-Luton | static top menu | | |
| Seymour | static left menu and header | | |
| Sheffield-Chapin Meservey Thornton | | | |
| Sheldon | | have to visit homepage for different sections | |
| Shenandoah | static left and top links | some pages don't work | |
| Sibley-Ocheyedan | static left buttons | | |
| Sidney | | links halfway down | |
| Sigourney | | | |
| Sioux Center | | inconsistent inside pages | |
| Sioux Central | | Joomla for front, then built or outside pages for rest | |
| Sioux City | like Anamosa | | use |
| Solon | | requires "Apache" | |
| South Clay | static left menu | | |
| South Hamilton | consistent header | pages have different layouts | |
| South O'Brien | static rollover top menu | | |
| South Page | | new windows pop up; inconsistent | |
| South Tama County | static top bar | | |
| South Winneshiek | static top menu | | |
| Southeast Polk | static rollover top menu | | |
| Southeast Warren | more basic version of Anamosa | | use |
| Southeast Webster-Grand | static header | | |
| Southern Cal | | inconsistent | |
| Spencer | professional; static top and left links | | |
| Spirit Lake | | static design on left, but links change and no link back home to get to a different section | |
| Springville | like Anamosa | | use |
| St. Ansgar | | inconsistent | |
| Stanton | | inconsistent | |
| Starmont | | inconsistent; icon as link home | |
| Storm Lake | | have to visit homepage to link to different section | |
| Stratford | | font too big | |
| Sumner | | | |
| Terril | static left and top links | like someone else's | |
| Tipton | | have to visit homepage to link to different section | |
| Titonka | | | |
| Treynor | consistent left menu | icons as links | |

| | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Tri-Center | | left static menu on front switches to top on inner pages and is too long | |
| Tri-County | | pull down boxes | |
| Tripoli | static left links and header | | |
| Turkey Valley | | menu docked at top; inner pages have a lot more links than homepage | |
| Twin Cedar | | pull down menus | |
| Twin Rivers | | confusing links; no home links | |
| Underwood | like Anamosa | | use |
| Union | | HS, MS and Elem pages all different | |
| United | static top menu and variable left menu | | |
| Urbandale | static left menu | | |
| Valley | | table with big border | |
| Van Buren | | links on right, not a lot of information | |
| Van Meter | like Anamosa | | use |
| Ventura | | random; inconsistent | |
| Villisca | | have to go back to homepage to get to different sections | |
| Vinton-Shellsburg | | inconsistent | |
| Waco | static left menu | | |
| Wall Lake View Auburn | static top bar | Joomla | |
| Walnut | | | |
| Wapello | static left links; links to links | | use for links to link |
| Wapsie Valley | static top menu | nothing on pages unless you click on rollover menu | |
| Washington | links on left on homepage | home link hard to find on inner pages | |
| Waterloo | static top menu | looks like a daycare site with logo | |
| Waukee | static top and left links | | |
| Waverly-Shell Rock | static left menu with pull down menu when clicked | | |
| Wayne | | frames on inner pages; not much information; only 7 links on homepage | |
| Webster City | | | intermediate site was like this |
| West Bend-Mallard | | have to click on rollover link; inconsistent | |
| West Branch | static header | student maintained | |
| West Burlington | static header | have to visit homepage for different sections | |
| West Central | | | |
| West Central Valley | | frames | |
| West Delaware | static top menu | | |
| West Des Moines | static top and left links | | |
| West Hancock | static left menu | | |
| West Harrison | static left menu | | |
| West Liberty | static top menu; school calendar on right | | |
| West Lyon | rollover top links, static links on left | | use |
| West Marshall | | inconsistent | |
| West Monona | | intro page, then static link at bottom | |
| West Sioux | like Anamosa | | use |
| Western Dubuque | | TOO BUSY!!!! | |
| Westwood | | home link says "up" | |
| Whiting | | bad background; inconsistent | |
| Williamsburg | | inconsistent; requires add-ons | |

| | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| Wilton | | | |
| Winfield-Mt Union | static rollover top menu | | |
| Winterset | static left menu | | |
| Woden-Crystal Lake | | | |
| Woodbine | | | |
| Woodbury | | too many static links on top, should be on left | |
| Woodward-Granger | | pulldown menus to get anywhere | |