

Mar 24th, 11:00 AM - 2:30 PM

Assessing CP - 42 Habitat Value for Bees using the Floral Resource Index

Allison Eagan
University of Northern Iowa, eagana@uni.edu

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Copyright ©2020 Allison Eagan

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.uni.edu/rcapitol>

Recommended Citation

Eagan, Allison, "Assessing CP - 42 Habitat Value for Bees using the Floral Resource Index" (2020).
Research in the Capitol. 7.

<https://scholarworks.uni.edu/rcapitol/2020/all/7>


This Open Access Poster Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences/Events at UNI ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research in the Capitol by an authorized administrator of UNI ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@uni.edu.

Offensive Materials Statement: Materials located in UNI ScholarWorks come from a broad range of sources and time periods. Some of these materials may contain offensive stereotypes, ideas, visuals, or language.

Assessing CP - 42 Habitat Value for Bees using the Floral Resource Index



Allison Eagan, Gabrielle Brown, and Dr. Ai Wen
Department of Biology and Tallgrass Prairie Center, University of Northern Iowa



Background

- Prairies once dominated 85% of Iowa but that large portion has been reduced drastically to 0.01%. Agriculture expansion is largely to blame for the shocking percent change. [1]
- The Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), administered by the US Department of Agriculture's Farm Service Agency, aims to conserve land, improve water quality, create wildlife habitat, and prevent soil erosion. In 2011, the CP-42 program was launched specifically as a Pollinator Habitat Initiative for forbs and grasses to be planted to increase pollinator habitat. The goals of the CP-42 plantings are for at least nine wildflower species to be found in the seed mix with a minimum of three species blooming in three different periods: April-June 15, June 15-July, and August-October. However, no official methods exist to assess CP-42 site quality. [2]
- Habitat loss is one of the main contributors to the massive decline in the native bee population and its biodiversity. Loss of land decreases the available floral resources that bees utilize for pollen and nectar, which is their main food supply. Native pollinators are essential to the ecosystem and play a large role in the pollination of about 35% of world crops. [3]

Research Questions

- How do floral resources from 2017 compare to those from 2018 and 2019? Is CP - 42 quality decreasing, increasing, or remaining stagnant?
- Is there a correlation between the density of native bees and floral resources?

Methods

- 36 sites were surveyed in 2017, 2018, and 2019 for this research

Plant Density Sampling:

- Five 100 m transects were randomly established
- 0.5 m by 2.0 m quadrats were placed at 7 m intervals along the transect
- All plants taller than 20 cm were identified and counted within each quadrat

Floral Resource and Bee Pollinator Sampling:

- Four 50 m transects were established within each site and twenty-five 1.0 m by 1.0 m quadrats were placed along the transect
- All live flowers were identified, counted, and recorded
- Four random 2500 m² plots were established to collect bees by sweep netting

Calculating Floral Resource Index (FRI) Score:

- Sites were evaluated on a one hundred point scale based on the following:

(A) CP - 42 bloom period goals [4-6]

Table 1: Site density scores

(B) Floral diversity

(C) Early bloom period density

(D) Middle bloom period density

(E) Late bloom period density

Score	Sum of Plant Densities plants/m ²	Score	Sum of Plant Densities plants/m ²
1	0.100 - 3.999	6	20.000 - 23.999
2	4.000 - 7.999	7	24.000 - 27.999
3	8.000 - 11.999	8	28.000 - 31.999
4	12.000 - 15.999	9	32.000 - 35.999
5	16.000 - 19.999	10	> 36.000

$$FRI = \left(\frac{A}{9} \times 40\right) + \left(\frac{B}{20} \times 10\right) + \left(\frac{C + D + E}{30} \times 50\right)$$

Results

Fig. 1: Box and Whisker Plot Comparing 2017, 2018, and 2019 FRI Scores




Fig. 2: 2017, 2018, and 2019 FRI Scores




Fig. 3: No Correlation between July Bee Abundance and Site FRI Score




Fig. 4: No Correlation between FRI Score and 2018 Bee Richness




Fig. 5: Density and Blooming Periods for the Site with the Lowest FRI Score




Fig. 6: Density and Blooming Periods for the Site with the Highest FRI Score





Table 2: Total Number of Bees Captured in 2018-2019

Month	Bee Abundance
Jun-18	109
Jul-18	155
Jun-19	74
Jul-19	125



Conclusions

- No significant differences were found between 2017, 2018, and 2019 FRI scores (Figure 1 and 2).
- FRI scores averaged ~62 per site from the three years. Therefore, the three CP-42 years show consistency pertaining to their FRI scores.
- Sites with higher FRI scores consisted of more floral species (Figure 5 and 6).
- My data suggests that a site with a low FRI score can still provide high quality habitat for pollinators, and conversely, sites with high FRI scores do not necessarily guarantee higher bee diversity or density (Figure 3 and 4).



Fig. 7: Quadrat



Fig. 8: Transect



Fig. 9: 2019 SURP Crew

Allison Eagan, Emma Simpson, Kate Sinnott, Alyssa Burgert, Ervina Tabakovic, Pryce Johnson, Corinne Myers, Kate Madsen

Future Direction

- Future research could focus on surveying other beneficial insects besides wild bees.
- Further research is needed to assess the effect of floral diversity, density, and flower shape on the bee community.
- Establishment rates and length of blooming for floral resources within the CRP sites could be evaluated and influence the creation of the next CRP seed mix.




Fig. 10: Agapostemon texanus




Fig. 11: Bombus bimaculatus




Fig. 12: Nomada articulata




Fig. 13: Hylaeus mesillae




Fig. 14: Bombus griseocollis




Fig. 15: Andrena wilkella

Acknowledgements

Thank you to the R.J. Carver Charitable Trust, U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Service Agency, and the UNI Biology Department for funding this research. Thank you to the Iowa farmers for allowing us to survey their land. Special thanks to the fellow SURP Students, Professors, and the Tallgrass Prairie Center for their help in surveying and collecting data.

References

- Smith, D. 1998. Iowa Prairie: original extent and loss, preservation and recovery attempts. *J Iowa Acad Sci* 105:94-108. <https://scholarworks.uni.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://www.google.com/&httpsredir=1&article=1276&context=ji>
- Conservation Reserve Program. (n.d.) Retrieved July 8, 2019 from <https://www.fsa.usda.gov/programs-and-services/conservation-programs/conservation-reserve-program/index>
- Myrna E. Watanabe: Pollinators at Risk: Human activities threaten key species., *Bioscience*, Volume 64, Issue 1, 1 January 2014, Pages 5 - 10, <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bit012>
- "Minnesota Wildflowers in Bloom." Minnesota Wildflowers. N.p., n.d. Web.
- "Discover Life." Discover Life, www.discoverlife.org/.
- Christiansen, Paul, & Mark Müller. An illustrated guide to Iowa prairie plants. Iowa City: U of Iowa Press, 1999. Print.