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Removal of Magnetic Impurities from Strontium Copper Oxychloride

COLLEEN B. EADS,¹ CAROL J. KOSMICKE,² and LANCE L. MILLER

Ames Laboratory, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

Analyses of a copper-deficient series of Strontium Copper Oxychloride polycrystalline samples are reported. Magnetic susceptibility, X-ray powder diffraction, and differential thermal analyses show a small Curie-type magnetic signal correlates with \( \sim 0.6\% \) (excess) copper ions in the nominally stoichiometric material. The analyses also imply that the extra magnetic signal is from an impurity phase, possibly related to Strontium Copper Oxychloride. The concentration of the impurity phase can be controlled by varying the copper stoichiometry.

INDEX DESCRIPTORS: Magnetic impurity, magnetic susceptibility, \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0.994\text{O}_2\text{Cl}_2 \)

Interest in the properties\(^{1-8}\) of Strontium Copper Oxychloride (\( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{Cl}_2 \)) lies in its structural and electronic similarities to the Lanthanum Copper Oxide (\( \text{La}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{O}_x \))—type high temperature superconductor.\(^{8}\) Both \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{Cl}_2 \) and stoichiometric \( \text{La}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{O}_4 \) have 2D antiferromagnetic short range order (AFM-SRO) in the Cu-O planes with a crossover to 3D antiferromagnetic long range order (AFM-LRO) around room temperature.\(^{2}\) Doping \( \text{Sr} \) into \( \text{La}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{O}_4 \) produces a 40K superconductor\(^{6}\) whereas the title compound has yet to be successfully doped.\(^{1}\) It is thought that a better understanding of the mechanism of superconductivity will come from study of \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{Cl}_2 \).

Both compounds share the \( \text{K}_2\text{NiF}_4 \) structure type.\(^{1,10}\) \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{Cl}_2 \) differs from \( \text{La}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{O}_4 \) in that the apical oxygens of the \( \text{Cu}_0\text{O}_4 \) octahedra are replaced with Cl and the La ions replaced with Sr to balance the overall charge. There is also a tetragonal to orthorhombic phase transition\(^{9}\) in \( \text{La}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{O}_4 \) which has not been found\(^{1}\) in \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{Cl}_2 \).

\( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{Cl}_2 \) has a complex magnetic behavior. 2D AFM-SRO is expected\(^2\) near 900K. As the temperature lowers, the correlated regions become larger and the fluctuations slow until there is a transition\(^4\) to 3D AFM-LRO at 250K. Recently a form of static 2D AFM-SRO has been discovered\(^6\) between 250 and 400K. Also, there is a cusp in the magnetization data\(^3\) and a splitting of muon spin rotation frequencies,\(^{1,7}\) possibly indicating a magnetic phase transition at 40K.

In order to examine and explain the magnetic behavior, it is necessary to remove magnetic impurity contributions to the experimental data. Contributions from non-magnetic impurities barely affect the data and can be ignored. Two methods of removing the magnetic impurity signal are: A) fit the impurity signal with a calculated curve and subtract its contribution out, or B) produce samples without the magnetic impurities.

Fitting and subtracting off the impurity contribution suffers from two disadvantages. First, one must know the character of the impurity and intrinsic signals. Since this compound is still under investigation, the intrinsic signal is not entirely obvious. Second, one must be able to mathematically distinguish the impurity and intrinsic signals. This, too, is a disadvantage since the important fitting region at low temperatures has relatively rapidly varying intrinsic magnetic events which are not small compared to the impurity signal.

In this material \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) ions are assumed to be the source of the Curie-type magnetic signal seen in the magnetization data. It is further assumed that the \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) ions exist in a phase different from \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{O}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) (as opposed to being due to lattice defects).

This paper reports our efforts to produce magnetically pure \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{Cl}_2 \), samples prepared with varying degrees of copper deficiencies. It was hypothesized that the copper deficiency would come from the impurity phase, thus reducing the magnitude of the magnetic impurity's signal. Because one loses control over the material when growing single crystals and a greater potential for lattice defects exists, all reactions and final products are in polycrystalline form. X-ray powder diffraction, Differential Thermal Analysis, and D.C. Magnetization analysis techniques were used to compare the effects that the copper deficiencies have on the properties of the final products.

EXPERIMENTAL

Sample Preparation

Preparation of \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{Cl}_2 \) is best accomplished\(^1\) using the two step reaction

\[
\text{SrCO}_3 + \text{CuO} \rightarrow \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{O}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \quad \text{(g)}
\]

\[
\text{SrCu}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{Cl}_2 + \text{Cl}_2 \quad \text{(g)}
\]

due to the low melting point of \( \text{SrCl}_2 \). To minimize sample to sample stoichiometry variations, a single large batch of \( \text{SrCu}_0.999\text{O}_2 \) was first prepared. This product was then split into four batches to which \( \text{CuO} \) and \( \text{SrCl}_2 \) were added to make up the desired stoichiometries of \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0.994\text{O}_2 \), \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0.996\text{O}_2 \), and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0.998\text{O}_2 \). Hereafter, the series is referred to as \( \text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_0\text{O}_x \) with \( x = 1.000, 0.998, 0.996, \text{and 0.994} \). Note: The oxygen stoichiometry is fixed by the amount necessary for charge neutrality. O\(_2\) is written for convenience.

\( \text{SrCu}_0.999\text{O}_2 \) was prepared by adding \( \text{CuO} \) (99.99%) to \( \text{SrCl}_2 \) (99.99%), grinding the mixture in an agate mortar and pestle, and pressing the mixture into pellet form. Firing took place in air at 925°C in a covered alumina crucible (COORS). Grinding and firing was repeated until the X-ray powder diffraction pattern remained unchanged from the previous day's pattern.

The second stage involved splitting up the \( \text{SrCu}_0.999\text{O}_2 \) into four batches to which \( \text{CuO} \) and \( \text{SrCl}_2 \) were added to produce the series. The actual formula for \( \text{SrCl}_2 \) is \( \text{SrCl}_2 \cdot \text{HCl} \). The number of waters of hydration is dependent on storage conditions. Determination of the number of waters was carried out on a Perkin Elmer Model 7 Thermal Gravimetric Analyzer (TGA). A small amount was placed on the weighing pan and heated to 300°C in helium. Assuming the weight loss was due to water loss and that the final compound was pure \( \text{SrCl}_2 \), the number of moles water per mole \( \text{SrCl}_2 \) was computed and used to obtain the actual molecular formula. Mixtures of the series were then ground, pressed into pellets, and heated at 800°C. X-ray powder diffraction analysis was again used to follow the reactions to completion.

DTA Analysis

Fig. 1 shows data collected on the series of samples using a Perkin
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Elmer Model 1700 Differential Thermal Analyzer (DTA). Alumina crucibles were used to contain the powdered samples since previous experience has shown no reaction between Alumina powder was used above and below the samples to enhance endothermic transition at Elmer Model Fig. 1. Differential Thermal Analysis scans of the series $\text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_2\text{Cl}_2$ with $x = 1.000, 0.998, 0.996,$ and $0.994$.

The events at 675 and $825^\circ\text{C}$ show the sensitivity of the DTA to small impurities. These two events are also seen when using an empty sample holder and thus are from contamination of the crucible. The DTA profiles are also sensitive to the amount of sample used. The runs have been repeated using sample weights ranging from 5 to 35 mg. Excess amounts of sample produces broader transitions and can split the endothermic transition at $1000^\circ\text{C}$ into multiple peaks. The data in Fig. 1 are from 5.32 to 8.30mg samples.

X-ray Analysis

Fig. 2 shows X-ray powder diffraction profiles for the series of four samples. Each phase has a unique set of peak positions and intensities in the profiles. The peaks belonging to $\text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ($a=3.9716\text{Å}, c=15.612\text{Å}, 14/mmm symmetry$) have been labeled with their Miller indices.

The most apparent continuous change is the disappearance of the SrCuO$_2$ peak at 90°. The diminishing intensities imply that the impurity phase(s) near zero are the difference (X$_\text{m}$ - X$_\text{calc}$).

Magnetization Analysis

Fig. 3 shows the molar magnetic susceptibility data ($X_m = M/H$) for the series of powder samples. Data were collected between 5 and 150K using a Quantum Design D.C. Squid Magnetometer with an applied field of 1 Tesla. Additive constants were used for each curve to separate them while retaining the relative ordering. Symbols represent raw data, lines through the symbols are calculated fits, and the lines near zero are the difference between the two.

Typically, a few percent impurity level detection limit is attained with an X-ray diffractometer. Comparison of the aforementioned impurity peaks with reference patterns was difficult due to the small signal and the breadth of the peaks. While no exact match has been found, the impurity peaks have a pattern similar to that of SrCuO$_2$ and are assumed to be a closely related phase.
Previous work² showed that the data could best be described by a modified Curie-Weiss equation, viz

\[ \chi_m = \chi_0 + \frac{C}{T - \theta} + aT. \]  

(1)

\( \chi_0 \) accounts for core electron diamagnetism, average Van Vleck paramagnetism, and a diamagnetic signal from the sample holder. \( C \) is the Curie constant due to isolated spins and the Weiss molecular field constant \( \theta \) accounts for interactions between these spins. The term linear in \( T \) is necessary for a good fit and is presently presumed to account for antiferromagnetic spin waves.³

\( \theta \) for these samples is small so that to a good approximation

\[ \chi_m T = C + \chi_0 T + aT^2. \]

(2)

Fig. 4 plots \( \chi_m T \) versus \( T \) to highlight additional information contained in the susceptibility data. Here we see a small cusp at 57K for \( x = 0.996 \), \( x = 0.994 \), and \( x = 0.998 \). This cusp is due to oxygen from air trapped in the powdered samples while being readied for measurement. At 45K a broad cusp is seen in the \( x = 0.994 \) data and a shoulder in the \( x = 1.000 \) data at 10K. Less prominent features are located at 25K in all samples.

By excluding the larger cusps, Eqn. (1) was used in a least squares fit to the experimental data. Assuming the resulting Curie constant is due only to the magnetic impurity, the molar percent impurity concentration (%I) is computed as

\[ \%I = \frac{C_1 \times 100}{0.374}. \]

(3)

Further assumptions necessary in deriving Eqn. (3) are spin = 1/2, \( g = 2 \) (both good assumptions for a Cu²⁺ impurity), and that %I is small. The results of the fits and the computations in Eqn. (3) are given in Table 1.

### DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

It has been previously determined from DTA measurements¹ that Sr₂CuO₂Cl₂ melts incongruently into a liquid (SrCl₂, m.p. \( 875^\circ \text{C} \)) and a second solid phase (SrCuO₂, m.p. \( 1035^\circ \text{C} \)) at 1000°C. Further heating melts the second solid phase and, by 1500°C, the resulting liquid has evaporated. Major events in Fig. 1 consist of an endothermic transition at 1000°C, a broad transition near \( 1055^\circ \text{C} \), and another ranging from 1225 to 1325°C. From this we conclude that the 1000°C peak is the incongruent melting point of Sr₂CuO₂Cl₂, \( 1035^\circ \text{C} \) is the melting point of SrCuO₂, and the highest temperature endothermic transition is the evaporation temperature or boiling point of the final liquid.

The final evaporation temperature correlates with the sample mass. This is understandable if the liquid has a high vapor pressure and if the smaller samples evaporate completely before the boiling point is reached. This argument can also explain the character difference between runs with small amounts of sample (which evaporate before the boiling point) and runs with larger amounts of sample (which evaporate at the boiling point). Other runs (not shown) with larger sample weights confirm \( 1325^\circ \text{C} \) is the actual boiling point.

A closer examination of Fig. 1 shows a small, sharp peak on the high-temperature side of the 1000°C transition. The appearance of this peak occurs when the sample mass increases and is thought to be an intermediate phase between SrCuO₂ and Sr₂CuO₂Cl₂.

An impurity signal was seen ² in single crystals by magnetization and muon spin rotation measurements. From Table 1 we find a good correlation of decreasing impurity level with copper deficiency exists for \( x = 1.000 \), 0.998, and 0.996. The 0.994 results do not, however, follow the trend. Reexamination and numerous attempts to fit the \( x = 0.994 \) data are foil by the broad cusps below 80K seen in Fig. 4.

For the three good fits, one observes that a 0.2% decrease in copper content lowers the calculated magnetic impurity level by about 0.5%. For \( x = 0.9935 \) a magnetic-impurity free sample is expected.

The large effect that a small Cu²⁺ deficiency has on the impurity signal is striking. From this one might surmise that A) a more complicated phase mechanism than originally put forth exists, B) that an assumption inherent in Eqn. 3 is incorrect, or C) that a systematic error is induced by the magnetism of Sr₂CuO₂Cl₂ during the fits. Further studies at higher and lower copper concentrations could clarify this point.

The magnetic data in Figs. 3 and 4 bring to light some intriguing questions about the intrinsic nature of the cusps below 60K. The 45K cusp has been seen in single crystals by magnetization and muon spin rotation measurements³⁻⁷ and has thus been thought intrinsic to this material. Here we see that the position and intensity are variable and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Excluded</th>
<th>( \chi_0 )</th>
<th>Cx10⁸</th>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>ax10⁸</th>
<th>%I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>5 to 10K</td>
<td>6.18x10⁻⁶</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>-4.58</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1.94x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>-5.10</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>30 to 70K</td>
<td>1.28x10⁻⁵</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>20 to 80K</td>
<td>6.12x10⁻⁶</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>-3.27</td>
<td>-2.70</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Results from fits to experimental magnetic susceptibility data for Sr₂CuO₂Cl₂. CGS units.
that more than one transition exists. Once the impurity contribution is brought to zero, investigations of these transitions will be carried out.

CONCLUSION

The results of the analyses support the initial hypothesis that the extra Curie-type magnetic signal originates in an impurity phase. A phase related to SrCuO$_2$ is thought to be the impurity. Fits to the magnetization data interpolate to a magnetic impurity free concentration at $x \approx 0.9935$. Extension of this work using purer, anhydrous SrCl$_2$, reacting at lower temperatures, and increasing the copper deficiencies will clarify a few points and bring the magnetic impurity signal near zero.
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